arXiv:1210.2491v1 [math.CO] 9 Oct 2012

Asymptotic enumeration of Eulerian circuits for graphs with strong mixing properties

M.I. Isaev

Abstract

We prove an asymptotic formula for the number of Eulerian circuits for graphs with strong mixing properties and with vertices having even degrees. The exact value is determined up to the multiplicative error $O(n^{-1/2+\varepsilon})$, where n is the number of vertices.

1 Introduction

Let G be a simple connected graph all of whose vertices have even degrees. An *Eulerian circuit* in G is a closed walk (see, for example, [2]) which uses every edge of G exactly once. Two Eulerian circuits are called equivalent if one is a cyclic permutation of the other. It is clear that the size of such an equivalence class equals the number of edges of graph G. Let $EC(G)$ denote the number of equivalence classes of Eulerian circuits in G.

The problem of counting the number of Eulerian circuits in an undirected simple graph (i.e. graph without loops and multiple edges) is complete for the class $\#P$, see [3]. Thus this problem is difficult in terms of the complexity theory. Moreover, it should be noted that in contrast to many other hard problems of counting on graphs (see, for example, [1], [9]), even approximate and probabilistic polynomial algorithms for counting the number of Eulerian circuits are not known in the literature.

As concerns the class of complete graphs K_n, the exact expression of the number of Eulerian circuits for odd n is unknown (it is clear that $EC(K_n) = 0$ for even n) and only the asymptotic formula was obtained (see [10]): as

$n \to \infty$ with n odd

$$\begin{aligned}EC(K_n) = 2^{\frac{(n-1)^2}{2}}\pi^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}n^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\left(\left(\frac{n-1}{2}-1\right)!\right)^n\left(1+O(n^{-1/2+\varepsilon})\right) = \\ = 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}}\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}\,e^{-\frac{n^2}{2}+\frac{11}{12}}\,n^{\frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{2}}\left(1+O(n^{-1/2+\varepsilon})\right)\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$.

In [4] the approach of [10] was generalized. In particular, the asymptotic behaviour of the number of Eulerian circuits was determined for graphs with large algebraic connectivity. This class of graphs we mean as the class of graphs having strong mixing properties and it can be also defined as the class of graphs having large Cheeger constant (isoperimetric number) or sufficiently large spectral gap from 1 for the second largest eigenvalue of the transition probability matrix of the random walk on the graph and large degree of each vertex. The equivalence of these definitions was proved, for example, in [6].

In the present work we continue studies of [4], [6], [10]. We prove an asymptotic formula for the number of Eulerian circuits of graphs having strong mixing properties. This result is presented in detail in Section 2 of the present work and was given for the first time, but without proof, in [6].

Actually, the estimation of the number of Eulerian circuits was reduced in [4] to estimating of an n-dimensional integral which is close to Gaussian-type. We partly repeat this reduction in Sections 3, 8 of the present paper. In addition, we develop an approach for estimating of integrals of such a type in Sections 4, 6, 7. We prove the main result in Section 5.

We note also that in [5] the asymptotic behaviour of the number of Eulerian orientations was determined for graphs having strong mixing properties. Apparently, proceeding from the results of [5] and the estimates of the present work, it is possible to prove the asymptotic formula for the number of Eulerian orientations given in [6]. In a subsequent paper we plan to develop this approach.

2 Main result

Let G be an undirected simple graph with vertex set $VG = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and edge set EG. We define $n \times n$ matrix Q by

$$Q_{jk} = \begin{cases} -1, & \{v_j, v_k\} \in EG, \\ d_j, & j = k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $n = |VG|$ and d_j denotes the degree of $v_j \in VG$. The matrix $Q = Q(G)$ is called the *Laplacian matrix* of the graph G. The eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n$ of the matrix Q are always non-negative real numbers and the number of zero eigenvalues of Q coincides with the number of connected components of G, in particular, $\lambda_1 = 0$. The eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = \lambda_2(G)$ is called the *algebraic connectivity* of the graph G. In addition, the following inequalities hold:

$$2 \min_j d_j - n + 2 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \frac{n}{n-1} \min_j d_j. \tag{2.2}$$

For more information on the spectral properties of graphs see, for example, [7] and [11].

According to Kirchhoff's Matrix-Tree-Theorem, see [8], we have that

$$t(G) = \frac{1}{n} \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \cdots \lambda_n = \det M_{11}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $t(G)$ denotes the number of spanning trees of the graph G and M_{11} results from deleting the first row and the first column of Q.

We call the graph G as γ-mixing graph, $\gamma > 0$, if

$$\text{the algebraic connectivity } \lambda_2 = \lambda_2(G) \geq \gamma |VG|. \tag{2.4}$$

In addition, we recall that (see, [6]):

- The class of γ-mixing graphs can be also defined as the class of graphs having sufficiently large Cheeger constant (isoperimetric number) or spectral gap from 1 for the second largest eigenvalue of the transition probability matrix of the random walk on the graph and sufficiently large degree of each vertex.
- Almost all graphs (asymptotically, in some probabilistic sense) are γ-mixing.

Let

$$W = \hat{Q}^{-1} = (Q + J)^{-1}, \tag{2.5}$$

where Q is the Laplacian matrix and J denotes the matrix with every entry 1. Let $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be defined by

$$\alpha_j = W_{jj}. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $\vec{\beta} = Q\vec{\alpha}$ and

$$C_1 = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_k}{2} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} W_{jk}\beta_j \right), \tag{2.7}$$

$$C_2 = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_k^2}{2(d_k+1)} \right), \tag{2.8}$$

where d_j is the degree of $v_j \in VG$. Let

$$R(\vec{\xi}) = \mathrm{tr}(\Lambda(\vec{\xi})W\Lambda(\vec{\xi})W), \tag{2.9}$$

where $\mathrm{tr}(\cdot)$ is the trace fucntion, $\Lambda(\vec{\xi})$ denotes the diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are equal to corresponding components of the vector $Q\vec{\xi}$. Let $\vec{e}^{(k)} = (e_1^{(k)}, \ldots, e_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be defined by $e_j^{(k)} = \delta_{jk}$, where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta. Let $r_k = R(\vec{e}^{(k)})$,

$$C_3 = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(1 + \frac{r_k}{2(d_k+1)} \right). \tag{2.10}$$

Finally, let

$$C_4 = \prod_{\{v_j, v_k\} \in EG} P_{jk}, \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$P_{jk} = 1 - \frac{1}{4(d_j+1)^2} - \frac{1}{2(d_j+1)(d_k+1)} - \frac{1}{4(d_k+1)^2}. \tag{2.12}$$

The main result of the present work is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be an undirected simple graph with n vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ having even degrees. Let G be a γ-mixing graph for some $\gamma > 0$. Then*

$$EC(G) = (1 + \delta(G))\, C_1 C_2 C_3 C_4 \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{d_j}{2} - 1 \right)! \, 2^{|EG| - \frac{n-1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \sqrt{t(G)} \right), \tag{2.13}$$

where C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 are defined according to (2.7), (2.8), (2.10), (2.11), respectively, d_j is the degree of vertex v_j, $t(G)$ is the number of spanning trees of G and for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|\delta(G)| \le Cn^{-1/2+\varepsilon}, \tag{2.14}$$

where constant $C > 0$ depends only on γ and ε.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 is given in Section 5. This proof is based on results presented in Sections 3, 4.

Remark 2.1. For the case of the complete graph we have that:

$$\lambda_2(K_n) = n,\ EK_n = \frac{n(n-1)}{2},\ t(K_n) = n^{n-2},\ \hat{Q}(K_n) = nI, \tag{2.15}$$

where I is indentity matrix of size n,

$$\vec{\beta} = Q\vec{\alpha} = 0 \implies C_1 = C_2 = 1, \tag{2.16}$$

$$r_k = R(\vec{e}^{(k)}) = \frac{1}{n^2}\operatorname{tr}(\Lambda(\vec{e}^{(k)})\Lambda(\vec{e}^{(k)})) = \frac{n-1}{n}. \tag{2.17}$$

Thus we get that as $n \to \infty$

$$C_3 = \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1 + \frac{r_k}{2(d_k+1)}\right) = \left(1 + \frac{n-1}{2n^2}\right)^n = e^{1/2} + O(n^{-1}), \tag{2.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_4 = \prod_{\{v_j,v_k\}\in EK_n} P_{jk} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{4n^2} - \frac{1}{2n^2} - \frac{1}{4n^2}\right)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} = \\ = \left(e^{\ln\left(1-\frac{1}{n^2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} = e^{-1/2} + O(n^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Combining (2.13)- (2.19), we obtain that the result of Theorem 2.1 for the case of the complete graph is reduced to (1.1).

3 Reduction to the integral

A *directed tree with root* v is a connected directed graph T such that $v \in VT$ has out- degree zero, and each other vertex has out-degree one. Thus, T is a tree which has each edge oriented towards v.

Let G be a connected undirected simple graph with n vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ having even degrees. Note that for every spanning tree T of the graph G and any vertex $v_r \in VG$ there is only one orientation of the edges of T such that we obtain a directed tree with root v_r. We denote by $\mathcal{T}_r$ the set of directed trees with root v_r obtained in such a way.

We recall that (see Section 4 and formulas (4.6), (4.7) of [4]):

$$EC(G) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} (\frac{d_j}{2} - 1)!\, 2^{|EG|-n+1} \pi^{-n} S, \tag{3.1}$$

where for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \leq n$,

$$S = \int\limits_{U_n(\pi/2)} \prod_{\{v_j,v_k\} \in EG} \cos \Delta_{jk} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_r} \prod_{(v_j,v_k) \in ET} (1 + i \tan \Delta_{jk})\, d\vec{\xi}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\Delta_{jk} = \xi_j - \xi_k$ and

$$U_n(\rho) = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \ : \ |\xi_j| \leq \rho \text{ for all } j = 1 \ldots n\}. \tag{3.3}$$

We approach the integral by first estimating it in the region which is the asymptotically significant one. In what follows, we fix some small constant $\varepsilon > 0$. Define

$$V_0 = \{\vec{\xi} \in U_n(\pi/2) : \ |\xi_j - \bar{\xi}| \, (\bmod \pi) \leq n^{-1/2+\varepsilon}, \, \bar{\xi} = \frac{\xi_1 + \ldots + \xi_n}{n}\}, \tag{3.4}$$

and let S_0 denote the contribution to S of $\vec{\xi} \in V_0$.

Under assumptions of Theorem 2.1, we have that as $n \to \infty$

$$S = \left(1 + O\left(\exp(-cn^{2\varepsilon})\right)\right) S_0 \tag{3.5}$$

for some $c > 0$ depending only on γ. For the proof of (3.5), see Theorem 6.3 of [4].

The sum over $\mathcal{T}_r$ in the integrand of (3.2) can be expressed as a determinant, according to the following theorem of [12], which is a generalization of aforementioned Kirchhoff's Matrix-Tree-Theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let w_{jk} ($1 \leq j, k \leq n$, $j \neq k$) be arbitrary. Define the $n \times n$ matrix A by*

$$A_{jk} = \begin{cases} -w_{jk}, & \text{if } j \neq k, \\ \sum_{r \neq j} w_{jr}, & \text{if } k = j \end{cases}, \tag{3.6}$$

the sum being over $1 \leq r \leq n$ with $r \neq j$. For any r with $1 \leq r \leq n$, let M_r denote the principal minor of A formed by removing row r and column r. Then

$$\det M_r = \sum_{T} \prod_{(v_j,v_k) \in ET} w_{jk}, \tag{3.7}$$

where the sum is over all directed trees T with $VT = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and root v_r.

Using formulas (3.2) and (3.5), Theorem 3.1 and the Taylor series expansion of $\cos \Delta_{jk}$ and $\tan \Delta_{jk}$in the region V_0, one can obtain the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then as* $n \to \infty$

$$S_0 = \left(1 + O\left(n^{-1/2+6\epsilon}\right)\right) 2^{-1/2}\pi^{1/2}n^{-1}\det \hat{Q}\ Int, \tag{3.8}$$

$$Int = \int\limits_{U_n(n^{-1/2+\varepsilon})} \exp\left(i\,\vec{\xi}^T Q\vec{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\vec{\xi}^T\hat{Q}\vec{\xi} - \frac{1}{12}\sum_{\{v_j,v_k\}\in EG}\Delta_{jk}^4 + \frac{1}{2}R(\vec{\xi})\right)d\vec{\xi}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\hat{Q}$, $\vec{\alpha}$ *and* $R(\vec{\xi})$ *are the same as in* (2.5), (2.6) *and* (2.9), *respectively.*

We prove in detail Proposition 3.1 in Section 8. Actually, this proof was implicitly given in [4] (see Lemma 5.3 of [4]).

Thus, we get that to prove Theorem 2.1 it remains only to estimate the integral Int of (3.8).

4 Asymptotic estimates of integrals

We fix constants $a, b, \varepsilon > 0$. In this section we use notation $f = O(g)$ meaning that $|f| \le c|g|$ for some $c > 0$ depending only on a, b and ε.

Let $p \ge 1$ be a real number and $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let

$$\|\vec{x}\|_p = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^p\right)^{1/p}. \tag{4.1}$$

For $p = \infty$ we have the maximum norm

$$\|\vec{x}\|_\infty = \max_j |x_j|. \tag{4.2}$$

The matrix norm corresponding to the p-norm for vectors is

$$\|A\|_p = \sup_{\vec{x}\neq 0}\frac{\|A\vec{x}\|_p}{\|\vec{x}\|_p}. \tag{4.3}$$

One can show that for symmetric matrix A and $p \ge 1$

$$\|A\|_p \ge \|A\|_2. \tag{4.4}$$

For invertible matrices define the condition number

$$\mu_p(A) = \|A\|_p \cdot \left\|A^{-1}\right\|_p \geq \left\|AA^{-1}\right\|_p = 1. \tag{4.5}$$

Let I be identity $n \times n$ matrix and $A = I + X$ be such a matrix that:

$$\begin{gathered} A \text{ is positive definite symmetric matrix,} \\ |X_{jk}| \leq a/n, \quad X_{jj} = 0, \quad \|A^{-1}\|_2 \leq b. \end{gathered} \tag{4.6}$$

Note that

$$\|A^{-1}\|_2^{-1} \leq \|A\|_2 \leq \|A\|_\infty = \|A\|_1 = \max_j \sum_{k=1}^{n} |A_{jk}| = O(1). \tag{4.7}$$

We recall that (see Lemma 3.2 of [5]), under assumptions (4.6),

$$\mu_\infty(A) = \mu_1(A) = O(\mu_2(A)). \tag{4.8}$$

Using (4.4), (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8), we obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1. *Let A satisfy (4.6). Then*

$$\|A^{-1}\|_\infty = \|A^{-1}\|_1 = O(1), \tag{4.9}$$

$$|X'_{jk}| = O(n^{-1}), \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$X' = A^{-1} - I = A^{-1}(I - A) = -A^{-1}X. \tag{4.11}$$

We use the following notation:

$$< g >_{F,\Omega} = \int_\Omega g(\vec{\theta}) e^{F(\vec{\theta})} d\vec{\theta}, \tag{4.12}$$

For $r > 0$ let

$$< g >_{F,r} = < g >_{F,U_n(rn^\varepsilon)}, \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$U_n(\rho) = \{(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \ : \ |\theta_j| \leq \rho \text{ for all } j = 1 \ldots n\}. \tag{4.14}$$

We use functions of the following type:

$$F(\vec{\theta}) = -\vec{\theta}^T A \vec{\theta} + H(\vec{\theta}), \tag{4.15}$$

where A satisfy (4.6). We consider the following assumptions on function H:

$$H(\vec{\theta}) \leq c_1 \frac{\vec{\theta}^T A \vec{\theta}}{n}, \tag{4.16}$$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial H(\vec{\theta})}{\partial \vec{\theta}} \right\|_\infty \leq c_2 \frac{\|\vec{\theta}\|_\infty^3 + \|\vec{\theta}\|_\infty}{n} \tag{4.17}$$

For the case when $H \equiv 0$ we use notations:

$$< g >_\Omega = < g >_{F,\Omega}, \quad < g >_r = < g >_{F,r}, \quad < g > = < g >_{+\infty} . \tag{4.18}$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $U_n(r_1 n^\varepsilon) \subset \Omega \subset U_n(r_2 n^\varepsilon)$ for some $r_2 > r_1 > 0$. Let A satisfy (4.6) and assumptions (4.16), (4.17) hold for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$. Then*

$$< 1 >_\Omega = \left(1 + O\left(\exp(-c_3 n^{2\varepsilon})\right)\right) < 1 >, \tag{4.19}$$

$$< 1 >_{F,\Omega} = O\left(< 1 >\right), \tag{4.20}$$

$$< \theta_k^2 >_{F,\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} < 1 >_{F,\Omega} + O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon}) < 1 >, \tag{4.21}$$

$$< \theta_k^4 >_{F,\Omega} = \frac{3}{4} < 1 >_{F,\Omega} + O(n^{-1+7\varepsilon}) < 1 > \tag{4.22}$$

and, for $k \neq l$:

$$< \theta_k \theta_l >_{F,\Omega} = O(n^{-1+5\varepsilon}) < 1 >, \tag{4.23}$$

$$< \theta_k \theta_l^3 >_{F,\Omega} = O(n^{-1+7\varepsilon}) < 1 >, \tag{4.24}$$

$$< \theta_k^2 \theta_l^2 >_{F,\Omega} = \frac{1}{4} < 1 >_{F,\Omega} + O(n^{-1+7\varepsilon}) < 1 >, \tag{4.25}$$

where F is defined by (4.15) and $c_3 = c_3(r_1, r_2, c_1, c_2, a, b, \varepsilon) > 0$.

In addition, for any vector $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, \ldots p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|\vec{p}\|_\infty = O(n^{-1/2})$,

$$\begin{aligned} &< \theta_k e^{i\vec{\theta}^T \vec{p} - i p_k \theta_k} >_{F,\Omega} = \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j \neq k, j \leq n} p_j (A^{-1})_{jk} < e^{i\vec{\theta}^T \vec{p} - i p_k \theta_k} >_{(F - \frac{1}{2} p_k^2 \theta_k^2), \Omega} + \\ &\qquad + O(n^{-1+5\varepsilon}) < 1 >, \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

where $(A^{-1})_{jk}$ denotes (j,k)-th element of the matrix A^{-1}.

Proof of Proposition 4.1 is given in Section 6.

5 Proof of Theorem 2.1

The Laplacian matrix Q of the graph G has the eigenvector $[1, 1, \ldots, 1]^T$, corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 0$. Let $\hat{Q} = Q + J$, where J denotes the matrix with every entry 1. Note that Q and $\hat{Q}$ have the same set of eigenvectors and eigenvalues, except for the eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenvector $[1, 1, \ldots, 1]^T$, which equals 0 for Q and n for $\hat{Q}$. Using (2.3), we find that

$$t(G) = \frac{1}{n}\lambda_2\lambda_3 \cdots \lambda_n = \frac{\det \hat{Q}}{n^2}. \tag{5.1}$$

Using (4.4), we get that

$$\lambda_n = ||Q||_2 \leq ||\hat{Q}||_2 \leq ||\hat{Q}||_1 = \max_j \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\hat{Q}_{jk}| = n. \tag{5.2}$$

Then, we find that

$$||\hat{Q}^{-1}||_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma n}. \tag{5.3}$$

Using (2.2), we get that

$$n - 1 \geq d_j \geq \lambda_2 \frac{n-1}{n} \geq \gamma(n-1). \tag{5.4}$$

Consider the integral of Proposition 3.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int} = \int\limits_{U_n(n^{-1/2+\varepsilon})} \exp\left(i\,\vec{\xi}^T\vec{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\vec{\xi}^T\hat{Q}\vec{\xi} - \frac{1}{12}\sum_{\{v_j,v_k\}\in EG} \Delta_{jk}^4 + \frac{R(\vec{\xi})}{2}\right) d\vec{\xi}, \\ R(\vec{\xi}) = \operatorname{tr}(\Lambda(\vec{\xi})\hat{Q}^{-1}\Lambda(\vec{\xi})\hat{Q}^{-1}), \\ \vec{\beta} = Q\vec{\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

where $\Lambda(\vec{\xi})$ denotes the diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are equal to corresponding components of the vector $Q\vec{\xi}$ and $\vec{\alpha}$ is the vector composed of the diagonal elements of $\hat{Q}^{-1}$.

Let define $\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}) = (\xi_1(\vec{\theta}), \xi_2(\vec{\theta}), \ldots \xi_n(\vec{\theta}))$ by

$$\theta_k = \sqrt{(d_k+1)/2}\,\xi_k. \tag{5.6}$$

Then we can rewrite (5.5) in notations of Section 4:

$$\mathrm{Int} =< e^{i\vec{p}^T\vec{\theta}} >_{F,\Omega} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(d_j+1)/2}}, \tag{5.7}$$

where $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots p_n)$,

$$p_k = \frac{\beta_k}{\sqrt{(d_j+1)/2}}, \tag{5.8}$$

$$F(\vec{\theta}) = -\vec{\theta}^T A\vec{\theta} + H(\vec{\theta}), \tag{5.9}$$

$$\vec{\theta}^T A\vec{\theta} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta})^T \hat{Q}\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}), \quad A_{jk} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(d_j+1)(d_k+1)}}\hat{Q}_{jk}, \tag{5.10}$$

$$H(\theta) = -\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\{v_j,v_k\}\in EG} \Delta_{jk}^4 + \frac{R(\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}))}{2}, \tag{5.11}$$

$$\Omega = \{\vec{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}) \in U_n(n^{-1/2+\varepsilon})\}. \tag{5.12}$$

We aim to reduce, using Proposition 4.1, expression Int of (5.7) to

$$< 1 >= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\vec{\theta}^T A\vec{\theta}} d\vec{\theta} = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\sqrt{\det A}} = \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2}}{\sqrt{\det \hat{Q}}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \sqrt{(d_j+1)/2}. \tag{5.13}$$

Our argument is as follows: first we have to verify that all assumptions of Proposition 4.1 hold, then we will gradually get rid of the oscillating term $e^{i\vec{p}^T\vec{\theta}}$, quadratic term $\frac{R(\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}))}{2}$ and the residual term $-\frac{1}{12}\sum\limits_{\{v_j,v_k\}\in EG} \Delta_{jk}^4$.

Further, we always use notation $f = O(g)$ meaning that $|f| \le c|g|$ for some constant $c > 0$ depending only on γ and ε.

5.1 Assumptions of Proposition 4.1

Combining (5.3), (5.4), (5.6), (5.10) and (5.12), we get that

$$A \text{ satisfy (4.6)} \quad \text{and} \quad U_n(r_1 n^{\varepsilon}) \subset \Omega \subset U_n(r_2 n^{\varepsilon}) \tag{5.14}$$

for some $a, b, r_1, r_2 > 0$ depending only on γ.

Let $\vec{e}^{(k)} = (e_1^{(k)}, \dots, e_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be defined by $e_j^{(k)} = \delta_{jk}$, where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta. Due to the linearity of $\Lambda(\vec{\xi})$ and $\mathrm{tr}(\cdot)$, we find that

$$R(\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta})) = \vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta})^T R \vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}) = \vec{\theta}^T S \vec{\theta}, \tag{5.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{jk} &= \mathrm{tr}(\Lambda(\vec{e}^{(j)}) \hat{Q}^{-1} \Lambda(\vec{e}^{(k)}) \hat{Q}^{-1}), \\ S_{jk} &= \frac{R_{jk}}{\sqrt{(d_j+1)/2}\sqrt{(d_k+1)/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

We use the following inequalities for $n \times n$ matrices X, Y:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{tr}(XY)| &\le \|X\|_{HS} \|Y\|_{HS}, \\ \|XY\|_{HS} &\le \|X\|_{HS} \|Y^T\|_2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm,

$$\|X\|_{HS} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n |X_{jk}|^2}. \tag{5.18}$$

Combining (5.2), (5.3), (5.15)-(5.17), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\xi}_1^T R \vec{\xi}_2 &\le \|\Lambda(\vec{\xi}_1)\hat{Q}^{-1}\|_{HS} \|\Lambda(\vec{\xi}_2)\hat{Q}^{-1}\|_{HS} \le \\ &\le \|\Lambda(\vec{\xi}_1)\|_{HS} \|\Lambda(\vec{\xi}_2)\|_{HS} \|\hat{Q}^{-1}\|_2^2 = \|Q\vec{\xi}_1\|_2 \|Q\vec{\xi}_2\|_2 \|\hat{Q}^{-1}\|_2^2 \le \\ &\le \|Q\|_2^2 \|\hat{Q}^{-1}\|_2^2 \|\vec{\xi}_1\|_2 \|\vec{\xi}_2\|_2 = O(1) \|\vec{\xi}_1\|_2 \|\vec{\xi}_2\|_2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Using (5.2), (5.10), (5.11) and (5.19), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} H(\vec{\theta}) \le R(\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta})) &= \vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta})^T R \vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}) = O(1) \|\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta})\|_2^2 = \\ &= O(1) \frac{\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta})^T \hat{Q} \vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta})}{n} = O(n^{-1}) \vec{\theta}^T A \vec{\theta}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

Let $(\hat{Q}^{-1}\Lambda(\vec{\xi})\hat{Q}^{-1})_{kk}$ denote the (k,k)-th element of the matrix $\hat{Q}^{-1}\Lambda(\vec{\xi})\hat{Q}^{-1}$. For any $1 \le k \le n$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial R(\vec{\xi})}{\partial \xi_k} &= 2\mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{\partial \Lambda(\vec{\xi})}{\partial \xi_k} \hat{Q}^{-1} \Lambda(\vec{\xi}) \hat{Q}^{-1} \right) = 2\mathrm{tr}\left(\Lambda(\vec{e}^{(k)}) \hat{Q}^{-1} \Lambda(\vec{\xi}) \hat{Q}^{-1} \right) = \\ &= 2 d_k (\hat{Q}^{-1}\Lambda(\vec{\xi})\hat{Q}^{-1})_{kk} + 2\mathrm{tr}\left(\tilde{\Lambda} \hat{Q}^{-1} \Lambda(\vec{\xi}) \hat{Q}^{-1} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

where $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is the diagonal matrix with the diagonal elements $|\tilde{\Lambda}_{jj}| = |\Lambda(\vec{e}^{(k)})_{jj}| \le 1$ in the case of $j \neq k$ and $|\tilde{\Lambda}_{kk}| = 0$. In particular, we have that

$$\|\tilde{\Lambda}\|_2 \le 1. \tag{5.22}$$

Since $\Lambda(\vec{\xi})$ is diagonal matrix, we get that

$$|d_k(\hat{Q}^{-1}\Lambda(\vec{\xi})\hat{Q}^{-1})_{kk}| = |d_k \Lambda(\vec{\xi})_{kk}| \|(\hat{Q}^{-1})_k\|_2^2 \le d_k \|\Lambda(\vec{\xi})\|_2 \|(\hat{Q}^{-1})_k\|_2^2, \tag{5.23}$$

where $(\hat{Q}^{-1})_k$ is the k-th column of the matrix $\hat{Q}^{-1}$. Note that

$$\|(\hat{Q}^{-1})_k\|_2 \le \|\hat{Q}^{-1}\|_2 \|\hat{Q}(\hat{Q}^{-1})_k\|_2 = \|\hat{Q}^{-1}\|_2. \tag{5.24}$$

We also note that

$$\|\Lambda(\vec{\xi})\|_2 = \|Q\vec{\xi}\|_\infty \le 2n\|\vec{\xi}\|_\infty. \tag{5.25}$$

Combining (5.3), (5.4), (5.22)-(5.25), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{tr}\left(\tilde{\Lambda}\hat{Q}^{-1}\Lambda(\vec{\xi})\hat{Q}^{-1}\right)| &\le n\|\tilde{\Lambda}\hat{Q}^{-1}\Lambda(\vec{\xi})\hat{Q}^{-1}\|_2 \le \\ &\le n\|\tilde{\Lambda}\|_2\|\Lambda(\vec{\xi})\|_2\|\hat{Q}^{-1}\|_2^2 = O(1)\|\vec{\xi}\|_\infty, \\ d_k(\hat{Q}^{-1}\Lambda(\vec{\xi})\hat{Q}^{-1})_{kk} &= O(1)\|\vec{\xi}\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

Using (5.4), (5.6), (5.15), (5.21) and (5.26) for all $1 \le k \le n$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|R\vec{\xi}\|_\infty &= \left\| \frac{\partial R(\vec{\xi})}{\partial \vec{\xi}} \right\|_\infty = O(1)\|\vec{\xi}\|_\infty, \\ 2\|S\vec{\theta}\|_\infty &= \left\| \frac{\partial R(\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}))}{\partial \vec{\theta}} \right\|_\infty = O(n^{-1})\|\vec{\theta}\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

For any $1 \le k \le n$, we have that

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \sum_{\{v_j, v_l\} \in EG} \Delta_{jl}^4 \right| \le 4\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\Delta_{jk}|^3 = O(n)\|\vec{\xi}\|_\infty^3 \tag{5.28}$$

Combining (5.6) and (5.28), we find that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{\theta}} \sum_{\{v_j, v_l\} \in EG} \Delta_{jl}^4 \right\|_\infty = O(n^{-1})\|\vec{\theta}\|_\infty^3. \tag{5.29}$$

Using (4.10), the fact that

$$(\hat{Q}^{-1})_{jk} = \frac{(A^{-1})_{jk}}{\sqrt{(d_j+1)/2}\sqrt{(d_k+1)/2}}, \tag{5.30}$$

and (5.4), we get that

$$\|\vec{p}\|_\infty = \sup_{1\le k\le n} \frac{\beta_k}{\sqrt{(d_j+1)/2}} = O(n^{-1/2})\|Q\alpha\|_\infty = O(n^{-1/2}), \tag{5.31}$$

where vector $\vec{p}$ is the same as in (5.8).

Putting together (5.10), (5.14), (5.20), (5.27), (5.29) and (5.31), we get that all assumptions of Proposition 4.1 with data (5.8)- (5.12) hold for some constants $a, b, r_1, r_2, c_1, c_2 > 0$ depending only on γ.

5.2 Oscillating term

Let define $\vec{p}^{(k)} = (p_1^{(k)}, p_2^{(k)}, \ldots, p_n^{(k)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as follows:

$$p_j^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } j \le k, \\ p_j, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5.32}$$

Let

$$F^{(k)}(\vec{\theta}) = F(\vec{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{k} p_j^2\theta_j^2. \tag{5.33}$$

Note that

$$F^{(0)}(\vec{\theta}) = F(\vec{\theta}). \tag{5.34}$$

Using (5.31) and the fact that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_k}\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j^2\theta_j^2 = 2p_k^2\theta_k = O(n^{-1})\|\theta\|_\infty, \tag{5.35}$$

we find that all assumptions of Proposition 4.1 hold for the case when we take function $F^{(k)}(\vec{\theta})$ instead of the function $F(\vec{\theta})$, vector $\vec{p}^{(k)}$ instead of vector $\vec{p}$ and for some constants $a, b, r_1, r_2, c_1, c_2 > 0$ depending only on γ.

Note that

$$\theta \in \Omega \implies \|\theta\|_\infty = O(n^{\varepsilon}). \tag{5.36}$$

Taking into account (5.31) and using the Taylor series expansion, we get that

$$e^{ip_k\theta_k} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}p_k^2\theta_k^2} + ip_k\theta_k + O(n^{-3/2+3\varepsilon}), \quad \vec{\theta} \in \Omega. \tag{5.37}$$

Using (5.31) and (4.20), (4.26) for $F^{(k-1)}$ and $\vec{p}^{(k-1)}$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & ip_k < \theta_k e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}^{(k)}} >_{F^{(k-1)},\Omega} = \\ & = -\frac{p_k}{2}\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} p_j (A^{-1})_{jk} < e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}^{(k)}} >_{F^{(k)},\Omega} + O(n^{-3/2+5\varepsilon}) < 1 >, \end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

Combining (4.20), (5.37) and (5.38), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & < e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}^{(k-1)}} >_{F^{(k-1)},\Omega} = \\ & = \left(1 - \frac{p_k}{2}\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} p_j (A^{-1})_{jk}\right) < e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}^{(k)}} >_{F^{(k)},\Omega} + \\ & \qquad + O(n^{-3/2+5\varepsilon}) < 1 > . \end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

Using (4.10), (5.8), (5.10) and (5.31), we note also that

$$\begin{aligned} p_j p_k (A^{-1})_{jk} = \beta_j\beta_k(\hat{Q}^{-1})_{jk} = O(n^{-2}), \quad j \neq k, \\ p_k \sum_{j\neq k, j\leq n} p_j (A^{-1})_{jk} = O(n^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

Using (5.34), (5.40) and (5.39) for $k = 1, 2\dots n$, we get that

$$< e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}} >_{F,\Omega} = C_1 < 1 >_{F^{(n)},\Omega} + O(n^{-1/2+5\varepsilon}) < 1 >, \tag{5.41}$$

where C_1 is the same constant as in (2.7):

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(1 - \frac{p_k}{2}\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} (\hat{A}^{-1})_{jk} p_j\right) = \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(1 - \frac{\beta_k}{2}\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} (\hat{Q}^{-1})_{jk} \beta_j\right) = O(1). \end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

Taking into account (5.31) and using the Taylor series expansion, we get that

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}p_k^2\theta_k^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}p_k^2\theta_k^2 + O(n^{-2+4\varepsilon}), \quad \vec{\theta} \in \Omega. \tag{5.43}$$

Combining (5.43) and (4.20), (4.21) for $F^{(k)}$, we get that

$$< 1 >_{F^{(k)},\Omega} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}p_k^2\right) < 1 >_{F^{(k-1)},\Omega} + O(n^{-2+4\varepsilon}) < 1 > . \tag{5.44}$$

Using (5.31), (5.34) and (5.44) for $k = 1, 2 \ldots, n$, we find that

$$< 1 >_{F^{(n)},\Omega} = C_2 < 1 >_{F,\Omega} + O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon}) < 1 >, \tag{5.45}$$

where C_2 is the same constant as in (2.8):

$$C_2 = \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}p_k^2\right) = \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1 - \frac{\beta_k^2}{2(d_k+1)}\right). \tag{5.46}$$

5.3 Quadratic term

Let define $\vec{\theta}^k = (\theta_1^k, \theta_1^k, \ldots, \theta_n^k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as follows:

$$\theta_j^k = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } j \le k, \\ \theta_j, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5.47}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} F^k(\vec{\theta}) &= -\vec{\theta}^T A \vec{\theta} + H^k(\vec{\theta}), \\ H^k(\vec{\theta}) &= -\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\{v_j,v_k\}\in EG} \Delta_{jk}^4 + \frac{1}{2}R(\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}^k)). \end{aligned} \tag{5.48}$$

In absolutely similar way as given in Subsection 5.1, we find that all assumptions of Proposition 4.1 hold for the case when we take $F^k(\vec{\theta})$ instead of $F(\vec{\theta})$ for some constants $a, b, r_1, r_2, c_1, c_2 > 0$ depending only on γ.

Note that

$$F^0(\vec{\theta}) = F(\vec{\theta}), \tag{5.49}$$

$$R(\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}^k)) = \vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}^k)^T R \vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}^k) = \vec{\theta}^{kT} S \vec{\theta}^k, \tag{5.50}$$

where matrices R, S are the same that in (5.15), (5.16).

Combining (5.48), the Mean Value Theorem and (5.27), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |F^{k-1}(\vec{\theta}) - F^k(\vec{\theta})| &= \frac{1}{2}|R(\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}^{k-1})) - R(\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}^k))| = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{\partial R(\vec{\xi}(\vec{\theta}^*))}{\partial \theta_k}\theta_k\right| = O(n^{-1})\|\vec{\theta}\|_\infty^2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.51}$$

where $\vec{\theta}^{*}$ lies on the segment between $\vec{\theta}^{k-1}$ and $\vec{\theta}^{k}$. Using (5.36), (5.51) and the Taylor series expansion, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{F^{k-1}(\vec{\theta})-F^{k}(\vec{\theta})} &= 1+F^{k-1}(\vec{\theta})-F^{k}(\vec{\theta})+O(n^{-2+4\varepsilon}) = \\ &= 1+\frac{1}{2}S_{kk}\theta_k^2+\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} S_{jk}\theta_j\theta_k+O(n^{-2+4\varepsilon}), \quad \vec{\theta}\in\Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{5.52}$$

Using (5.4), (5.16), (5.19), we find that

$$S_{kk}=\frac{\vec{e}^{(k)T}R\vec{e}^{(k)}}{(d_k+1)/2}=O(n^{-1})\|\vec{e}^{(k)}\|_2^2=O(n^{-1}). \tag{5.53}$$

Since (5.27) imply that $\|S\|_\infty = O(n^{-1})$, using (4.23), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} S_{jk} <\theta_j\theta_k>_{F^{k-1},\Omega}\right| &\le |\sup_{k<j\le n} <\theta_j\theta_k>_{F^{k-1},\Omega}| \sum_{j=k+1}^{n}|S_{jk}| = \\ &= O(n^{-1+5\varepsilon})\|S\|_\infty = O(n^{-2+5\varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.54}$$

Combining (4.20), (4.21), (5.52) and (5.54), we obtain that

$$<1>_{F^{k-1},\Omega}=\left(1+\frac{1}{4}S_{kk}\right)<1>_{F^{k},\Omega}+O(n^{-2+5\varepsilon})<1>. \tag{5.55}$$

Using (5.49), (5.53) and (5.55) for $k=1,2\dots,n$, we find that

$$<1>_{F,\Omega}=C_3<1>_{F^{n},\Omega}+O(n^{-1+5\varepsilon})<1>, \tag{5.56}$$

where C_3 is the same constant as in (2.10):

$$C_3=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1+\frac{1}{4}S_{kk}\right)=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1+\frac{r_k}{2(d_k+1)}\right). \tag{5.57}$$

5.4 Residual term

For a subset Θ of EG we define

$$\begin{aligned} F_\Theta(\vec{\theta}) &= -\vec{\theta}^{T}A\vec{\theta}+H_\Theta(\vec{\theta}), \\ H_\Theta(\vec{\theta}) &= -\frac{1}{12}\sum_{\{v_j,v_k\}\in\Theta}\Delta_{jk}^4. \end{aligned} \tag{5.58}$$

In absolutely similar way as given in Subsection 5.1, we find that all assumptions of Proposition 4.1 hold for the case when we take $F_\Theta(\vec{\theta})$ instead of $F(\vec{\theta})$ for some constants $a, b, r_1, r_2, c_1, c_2 > 0$ depending only on γ.

Note that

$$F_{EG}(\vec{\theta}) = F^n(\vec{\theta}), \tag{5.59}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{jk}^4 = \frac{4\theta_j^4}{(d_j+1)^2} - 4\frac{4\theta_j^3\theta_k}{(d_j+1)^{3/2}(d_k+1)^{1/2}} + 6\frac{4\theta_j^2\theta_k^2}{(d_j+1)(d_k+1)} - \\ -4\frac{4\theta_k^3\theta_j}{(d_k+1)^{3/2}(d_j+1)^{1/2}} + \frac{4\theta_k^4}{(d_k+1)^2},\end{aligned} \tag{5.60}$$

Combining (4.22), (4.24), (4.25), (5.4) and (5.60), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}< \Delta_{jk}^4 >_{F_\Theta,\Omega} = \Bigg(\frac{3}{4}\frac{4}{(d_j+1)^2} + \frac{6}{4}\frac{4}{(d_j+1)(d_k+1)} + \\ + \frac{3}{4}\frac{4}{(d_k+1)^2}\Bigg) < 1 >_{F_\Theta,\Omega} + O(n^{-3+7\varepsilon}) < 1 > .\end{aligned} \tag{5.61}$$

Using (4.20) and (5.61), we get that

$$< e^{-\frac{1}{12}\Delta_{jk}^4} >_{F_\Theta,\Omega} = P_{jk} < 1 >_{F_\Theta,\Omega} + O(n^{-3+7\varepsilon}) < 1 >, \tag{5.62}$$

where

$$P_{jk} = 1 - \frac{1}{4(d_j+1)^2} - \frac{1}{2(d_j+1)(d_k+1)} - \frac{1}{4(d_k+1)^2}. \tag{5.63}$$

Note that

$$1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \le P_{jk} \le 1. \tag{5.64}$$

Using (4.20), (5.62), we can gradually remove all the edges from the residual term H_{EG} and obtain that

$$< 1 >_{F_{EG},\Omega} = \prod_{\{v_j,v_k\}\in EG} P_{jk} < 1 >_\Omega + O(n^{-1+7\varepsilon}) < 1 > . \tag{5.65}$$

Combining (4.19) and (5.65), we get that

$$< 1 >_{F_{EG},\Omega} = C_4 < 1 > + O(n^{-1+7\varepsilon}) < 1 >, \tag{5.66}$$

where C_4 is the same constant as in (2.11).

Combining (5.41), (5.45), (5.56), (5.59) and (5.66), we find that

$$< e^{i\vec{p}^T\vec{\theta}} >_{F,\Omega}= C_1C_2C_3C_4 < 1 > +O(n^{-1/2+7\varepsilon}) < 1 > . \tag{5.67}$$

Putting together (3.1), (3.5), (3.8), (5.1), (5.7), (5.13) and (5.67), we obtain (2.13) and (2.14) (with the exponent 7ε instead of ε).

6 Proof of Proposition 4.1

In this section we use notation $f = O(g)$ meaning that $|f| \le c|g|$ for some $c > 0$ depending only on r_1, r_2, c_1, c_2, a, b and ε.

Let

$$\vec{\phi}(\vec{\theta}) = (\phi_1(\vec{\theta}), \phi_2(\vec{\theta}), \dots, \phi_n(\vec{\theta})) = A\vec{\theta}. \tag{6.1}$$

According to (4.6), $A = I+X$, $X_{jj} = 0$, and so for some $g_1(\vec{\theta}) = g_1(\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n)$

$$\vec{\theta}^T A\vec{\theta} = \phi_1^2(\vec{\theta}) + g_1(\vec{\theta}). \tag{6.2}$$

Using (4.6), (6.2) and estimating insignificant parts of Gaussian integral of the following type:

$$\int (\max\{|x|, k_1\})^s \, e^{-(x-k_2)^2} dx, \tag{6.3}$$

we find that for $r > 0$, $s \ge 0$

$$\begin{aligned} < \|\vec{\theta}\|_\infty^s > &= \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\vec{\theta}\|_\infty^s e^{-\vec{\theta}^T A\vec{\theta}} d\vec{\theta} = \\ &= \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \cdots \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-g_1(\theta_2,\dots,\theta_n)} \left(\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|\vec{\theta}\|_\infty^s e^{-\phi_1(\vec{\theta})^2} d\theta_1 \right) d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_n \qquad (6.4) \\ &= \left(1 + O\left(\exp(-c_4 n^{2\varepsilon})\right)\right) \int\limits_{|\phi_1(\vec{\theta})| \le rn^\varepsilon} \|\vec{\theta}\|_\infty^s e^{-\vec{\theta}^T A\vec{\theta}} d\vec{\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_4 = c_4(r, \varepsilon, s) > 0$. Combining similar to (6.4) expressions for $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots \phi_n$, we get that

$$\int\limits_{||\vec{\phi}(\vec{\theta})||_\infty \le rn^\varepsilon} \|\vec{\theta}\|_\infty^s e^{-\vec{\theta}^T A\vec{\theta}} d\vec{\theta} = \left(1 + O\left(\exp(-c_5 n^{2\varepsilon})\right)\right) < \|\vec{\theta}\|_\infty^s >, \tag{6.5}$$

where $c_5 = c_5(r, \varepsilon, s) > 0$. Combining (4.9), (6.1) and (6.5) with $s = 0$, we obtain (4.19).

Using (4.16), we find that

$$| < 1 >_{F,\Omega} | \leq \int\limits_{\Omega} |e^{F(\vec{\theta})}| d\vec{\theta} \leq \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\vec{\theta}^T A\vec{\theta} + \frac{c_1}{n}\vec{\theta}^T A\vec{\theta}} d\vec{\theta} = O(< 1 >). \tag{6.6}$$

In order to prove (4.21) - (4.25) we use the following two lemmas. The proofs of them are given in Section 7.

Lemma 6.1. *Let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *be such that* $U_n(r_1 n^{\varepsilon}) \subset \Omega \subset U_n(r_2 n^{\varepsilon})$ *for some* $r_2 > r_1 > 0$. *Let* A *satisfy (4.6) and assumptions (4.16) and (4.17) hold for some constants* $c_1, c_2 > 0$. *Let* $P = P(x) = O(|x|^s)$ *for some fixed* $s \geq 0$. *Then for any* $T(\vec{\theta})$ *such that* $|T(\vec{\theta})| \leq P(\|\vec{\theta}\|_\infty)$:

$$< T(\vec{\theta}) >_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} = O\left(\exp(-c_6 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) < 1 >, \tag{6.7}$$

and for any $\tilde{T}(\vec{\theta}) = \tilde{T}(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{k-1}, \theta_{k+1}, \ldots, \theta_n)$ *such that* $\tilde{T}(\vec{\theta}) \leq P(\|\vec{\theta}\|_\infty)$*:*

$$\begin{aligned} < \phi_k^2(\vec{\theta})\tilde{T}(\vec{\theta}) >_{F,\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} < \tilde{T}(\vec{\theta}) >_{F,\Omega} + O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon}) < |\tilde{T}(\vec{\theta})| >_{F,\Omega} + \\ + O\left(\exp(-c_6 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) < 1 >, \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} < \phi_k^4(\vec{\theta})\tilde{T}(\vec{\theta}) >_{F,\Omega} = \frac{3}{4} < \tilde{T}(\vec{\theta}) >_{F,\Omega} + O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon}) < |\tilde{T}(\vec{\theta})| >_{F,\Omega} + \\ + O\left(\exp(-c_6 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) < 1 >, \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} < \phi_k(\vec{\theta})\tilde{T}(\vec{\theta}) >_{F,\Omega} = O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon}) < |\tilde{T}(\vec{\theta})| >_{F,\Omega} + \\ + O\left(\exp(-c_6 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) < 1 >, \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} < \phi_k^3(\vec{\theta})\tilde{T}(\vec{\theta}) >_{F,\Omega} = O(n^{-1+6\varepsilon}) < |\tilde{T}(\vec{\theta})| >_{F,\Omega} + \\ + O\left(\exp(-c_6 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) < 1 >, \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

where function F *is defined by (4.15), vector* $\vec{\phi}(\vec{\theta})$ *is defined by (6.1) and constant* $c_6 = c_6(r_1, r_2, c_1, c_2, a, b, \varepsilon, P) > 0$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let assumptions of Lemma 6.1 hold and* $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} M(\vec{x}) = x_1^{s_1} \cdots x_n^{s_n}, \\ s = s_1 + \ldots + s_n > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

Let

$$s_k = 0 \text{ and } |\{j : s_j \neq 0\}| \leq 3. \tag{6.13}$$

Then

$$< \phi_k(\vec{\theta}) M(\vec{\phi}(\vec{\theta})) >_{F,\Omega} = O(sn^{-1+(s+4)\varepsilon}) < 1 > . \tag{6.14}$$

Using (4.10), we find that

$$\theta_k = \phi_k + \vec{q}_k^T \vec{\phi}, \quad \|\vec{q}\|_\infty = O(n^{-1}). \tag{6.15}$$

Combining (6.6), (6.15), Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.2, we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&<\delta_k(\vec{\theta})^2 >_{F,\Omega} = < (\vec{q}_k^T \vec{\phi})^2 >_{F,\Omega} = \\
&= O(n^{-2}) \left(\sum_j < \phi_j(\vec{\theta})^2 >_{F,\Omega} + \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2} | < \phi_{j_1}(\vec{\theta}) \phi_{j_2}(\vec{\theta}) >_{F,\Omega} | \right) = \\
&= \big(O(n^{-1}) + O(n^{-1+5\varepsilon}) \big) < 1 > = O(n^{-1+5\varepsilon}) < 1 >,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
< \delta_k(\vec{\theta})^4 >_{F,\Omega} &= < (\vec{q}_k^T \vec{\phi})^4 >_{F,\Omega} = O(n^{-4}) \sum_j < \phi_j(\vec{\theta})^4 >_{F,\Omega} + \\
&+ O(n^{-4}) \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2} < \phi_{j_1}(\vec{\theta})^2 \phi_{j_2}(\vec{\theta})^2 >_{F,\Omega} + \\
&+ O(n^{-4}) \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2} | < \phi_{j_1}(\vec{\theta}) \phi_{j_2}(\vec{\theta})^3 >_{F,\Omega} | + \\
&+ O(n^{-4}) \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2 \neq j_3} | < \phi_{j_1}(\vec{\theta}) \phi_{j_2}(\vec{\theta}) \phi_{j_3}(\vec{\theta})^2 >_{F,\Omega} | + \\
&+ O(n^{-4}) \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2 \neq j_3 \neq j_4} | < \phi_{j_1}(\vec{\theta}) \phi_{j_2}(\vec{\theta}) \phi_{j_3}(\vec{\theta}) \phi_{j_4}(\vec{\theta}) >_{F,\Omega} | = \\
&= \Big(O(n^{-3}) + O(n^{-2+4\varepsilon}) + O(n^{-3+7\varepsilon}) + O(n^{-2+7\varepsilon}) + \\
&\quad + O(n^{-1+7\varepsilon}) \Big) < 1 > = O(n^{-1+7\varepsilon}) < 1 >,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

where

$$\delta_k(\vec{\theta}) = \theta_k - \phi_k(\vec{\theta}). \tag{6.18}$$

According to (4.6), we have that

$$\delta_k(\vec{\theta}) = \delta_k(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{k-1}, \theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_n) \tag{6.19}$$

Using (6.6), (6.15), (6.16), (6.17), (6.19) and Lemma 6.1, we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} &< \phi_k(\vec{\theta})\delta_k(\vec{\theta}) >_{F,\Omega} = \\ &= O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon}) < |\delta_k(\vec{\theta})| >_{F,\Omega} + O\left(\exp(-c_6 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) < 1 >= \\ &= O(n^{-1+5\epsilon}) < 1 >, \end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \theta_k^2 >_{F,\Omega} = < (\phi_k(\vec{\theta}) + \delta_k(\vec{\theta}))^2 >_{F,\Omega} = \\ &= < \phi_k(\vec{\theta})^2 >_{F,\Omega} + O(n^{-1+5\epsilon}) < 1 >= \\ &= \frac{1}{2} < 1 >_{F,\Omega} + O(n^{-1+5\epsilon}) < 1 > . \end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \phi_k(\vec{\theta})\delta_k(\vec{\theta})^3 >_{F,\Omega} = \\ &= O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon}) < |\delta_k(\vec{\theta})|^3 >_{F,\Omega} + O\left(\exp(-c_6 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) < 1 >= \\ &= O(n^{-1+7\epsilon}) < 1 >, \end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} < \phi_k(\vec{\theta})^2\delta_k(\vec{\theta})^2 >_{F,\Omega} = &\left(\frac{1}{2} + O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon})\right) < \delta_k(\vec{\theta})^2 >_{F,\Omega} + \\ &+ O\left(\exp(-c_6 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) < 1 >= \\ &= O(n^{-1+5\epsilon}) < 1 >, \end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \phi_k(\vec{\theta})^3\delta_k(\vec{\theta}) >_{F,\Omega} = \\ &= O(n^{-1+6\varepsilon}) < |\delta_k(\vec{\theta})| >_{F,\Omega} + O\left(\exp(-c_6 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) < 1 >= \\ &= O(n^{-1+7\epsilon}) < 1 >, \end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &< \theta_k^4 >_{F,\Omega} = < (\phi_k(\vec{\theta}) + \delta_k(\vec{\theta}))^4 >_{F,\Omega} = \\ &= < \phi_k(\vec{\theta})^4 >_{F,\Omega} + O(n^{-1+7\epsilon}) < 1 >= \\ &= \frac{3}{4} < 1 >_{F,\Omega} + O(n^{-1+7\epsilon}) < 1 > . \end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

In a similar way as in (6.16), (6.17), using (6.20) - (6.24), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &< \delta_k(\vec{\theta})\theta_l >_{F,\Omega} = O(n^{-1}) \sum_j < \phi_j(\vec{\theta})\theta_l >_{F,\Omega} = \\ &= \left(O(n^{-1+2\varepsilon}) + O(n^{-1+5\varepsilon})\right) < 1 >= O(n^{-1+5\varepsilon}) < 1 >, \end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

$$\begin{aligned} < \delta_k(\vec{\theta})^2\theta_l^2 >_{F,\Omega} = O(n^{-2}) \sum_j < \phi_j(\vec{\theta})^2\theta_l^2 >_{F,\Omega} + \\ + O(n^{-2}) \sum_{j_1 \neq j_2} | < \phi_{j_1}(\vec{\theta})\phi_{j_2}(\vec{\theta})\theta_l^2 >_{F,\Omega} | = \\ = \Big(O(n^{-1+2\varepsilon}) + O(n^{-1+7\varepsilon}) \Big) < 1 >= O(n^{-1+7\varepsilon}) < 1 >, \end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & < \delta_k(\vec{\theta})\theta_l^3 >_{F,\Omega}= \\ & = O(n^{-1}) \sum_{j \neq l} < \phi_j(\vec{\theta})\theta_l^3 >_{F,\Omega} + O(n^{-1}) < \phi_l(\vec{\theta})\theta_l^3 >_{F,\Omega}= \\ & = \big(O(n^{-1+7\varepsilon}) + O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon}) \big) < 1 >= O(n^{-1+7\varepsilon}) < 1 > . \end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

Using (6.6), (6.19), (6.26)-(6.28) and Lemma 6.1, we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} < \phi_k(\vec{\theta})\delta_k(\vec{\theta})\theta_l^2 >_{F,\Omega}= \ & O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon}) < |\delta_k(\vec{\theta})\theta_l^2| >_{F,\Omega} + \\ & + O\left(\exp(-c_6 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) < 1 >= \\ & = O(n^{-1+7\epsilon}) < 1 >, \end{aligned} \tag{6.29}$$

$$< \theta_k\theta_l >_{F,\Omega}=< (\phi_k(\vec{\theta}) + \delta_k(\vec{\theta}))\theta_l >_{F,\Omega}= O(n^{-1+5\epsilon}) < 1 >, \tag{6.30}$$

$$< \theta_k\theta_l^3 >_{F,\Omega}=< (\phi_k(\vec{\theta}) + \delta_k(\vec{\theta}))\theta_l^3 >_{F,\Omega}= O(n^{-1+7\epsilon}) < 1 >, \tag{6.31}$$

$$\begin{aligned} < \theta_k^2\theta_l^2 >_{F,\Omega} & =< (\phi_k(\vec{\theta}) + \delta_k(\vec{\theta}))^2\theta_l^2 >_{F,\Omega} \\ & =< \phi_k(\vec{\theta})^2\theta_l^2 >_{F,\Omega} + O(n^{-1+7\epsilon}) < 1 >= \\ & = \frac{1}{2} < \phi_l(\vec{\theta}) + \delta_l(\vec{\theta}))^2 >_{F,\Omega} + O(n^{-1+7\epsilon}) < 1 >= \\ & = \frac{1}{4} < 1 >_{F,\Omega} + O(n^{-1+7\epsilon}) < 1 > . \end{aligned} \tag{6.32}$$

Since $\|\vec{p}\|_\infty = O(n^{-1/2})$, using the fact that

$$e^{\frac{1}{2}p_k^2\theta_k^2} = 1 + O(n^{-1+2\varepsilon}), \quad \theta \in \Omega, \tag{6.33}$$

and (6.6), we get that

$$< \theta_k e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p} - ip_k\theta_k} >_{F,\Omega}=< \theta_k e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p} - ip_k\theta_k} >_{F',\Omega} + O(n^{-1+3\varepsilon}) < 1 >, \tag{6.34}$$

where $F' = F - \frac{1}{2}p_k^2\theta_k^2$. It is clear that F' satisfy all assumptions of Lemma 6.1. For any $\vec{p}' = (p_1', p_2', \ldots, p_n') \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\|\vec{p}'\|_\infty = O(n^{-1/2})$, we have that

$$e^{ip_l'\theta_l} = 1 + ip_l'\theta_l + O(n^{-1+2\varepsilon}), \quad e^{-ip_l'\theta_l} = 1 + O(n^{-1/2+\varepsilon}), \quad \theta \in \Omega. \tag{6.35}$$

Using (6.6), (6.8) and (6.10) with F' instead of F, (6.35), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &< \phi_l(\vec{\theta})e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}'-ip'_l\theta_l} >_{F',\Omega}= O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon}) < 1 >,\\ &< \phi_l(\vec{\theta})\delta_l(\vec{\theta})e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}'-ip'_l\theta_l} >_{F',\Omega}= O(n^{-1+5\varepsilon}) < 1 >,\\ &< \phi_l(\vec{\theta})\theta_l e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}'-ip'_l\theta_l} >_{F',\Omega}=< \phi_l^2(\vec{\theta})e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}'-ip'_l\theta_l} >_{F',\Omega} +\\ &\qquad < \phi_l(\vec{\theta})\delta_l(\vec{\theta})e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}'-ip'_l\theta_l} >_{F',\Omega}=\\ &= \frac{1}{2} < e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}'-ip'_l\theta_l} >_{F',\Omega} +O(n^{-1+5\varepsilon}) < 1 >=\\ &= \frac{1}{2} < e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}'} >_{F',\Omega} +O(n^{-1/2+5\varepsilon}) < 1 > . \end{aligned} \tag{6.36}$$

Combining (6.35) and (6.36), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} < \phi_l(\vec{\theta})e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}'} >_{F',\Omega}&=< \phi_l(\vec{\theta})e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}'-ip'_l\theta_l} >_{F',\Omega} +\\ &+ ip'_l < \phi_l(\vec{\theta})\theta_l e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}'-ip'_l\theta_l} >_{F',\Omega} +O(n^{-1+3\varepsilon}) < 1 >=\\ &= \frac{i}{2}p'_l < e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}'} >_{F',\Omega} +O(n^{-1+5\varepsilon}) < 1 > . \end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

Using (4.9), (6.1) and (6.37), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &< \theta_k e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}'} >_{F',\Omega}=\\ &\qquad = \frac{i}{2}\sum_{l=1}^{n} p'_l(A^{-1})_{lk} < e^{i\vec{\theta}^T\vec{p}'} >_{F',\Omega} +O(n^{-1+5\varepsilon}) < 1 > \end{aligned} \tag{6.38}$$

Combining (6.34) and (6.38) for $\vec{p}' = \vec{p} - p_k\vec{e}^{(k)}$, we obtain (4.26).

7 Proofs of Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.2

In this section we continue use notation $f = O(g)$ meaning that $|f| \le c|g|$ for some $c > 0$ depending only on r_1, r_2, c_1, c_2, a, b and ε.

Let

$$\vec{\theta}^{(k)} = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_{k-1}, 0, \theta_{k+1}, \ldots, \theta_n). \tag{7.1}$$

Proof of Lemma 6.1. Using (4.9), (6.1) and (6.5), we find that

$$| < T >_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Omega} | \le \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\Omega} P(\|\vec{\theta}\|_\infty^s)e^{-\vec{\theta}^T A\vec{\theta}}d\vec{\theta} = O\left(\exp(-c_6 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) < 1 > . \tag{7.2}$$

For simplicity, let $k=1$. Using (7.2), we get that

$$< \phi_1^p(\vec{\theta})\tilde{T}(\vec{\theta}) >_{F,\Omega} = \int\limits_{\Omega} \phi_1^p(\vec{\theta})\tilde{T}(\theta_2,\dots,\theta_n)e^{-\vec{\theta}^T A\vec{\theta}+H(\vec{\theta})}d\vec{\theta} =$$

$$= \int\limits_{U_n(r_2 n^{\varepsilon})} \phi_1^p(\vec{\theta})\tilde{T}(\theta_2,\dots,\theta_n)e^{-\vec{\theta}^T A\vec{\theta}+H(\vec{\theta})}d\vec{\theta} + O\left(\exp(-c_6 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) < 1 >, \tag{7.3}$$

$$p = 1,2,3,4.$$

where we let $H(\vec{\theta}) \equiv 0$ for $\vec{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. Combining (4.17) and the Mean Value Theorem, we find that for $\vec{\theta} \in U_n(r_2 n^{\varepsilon})$

$$H(\vec{\theta}) - H(\vec{\theta}^{(1)}) = O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon}). \tag{7.4}$$

Using (6.2), we get that

$$\int\limits_{U_n(r_2 n^{\varepsilon})} \phi_1^p(\vec{\theta})\tilde{T}(\theta_2,\dots,\theta_n)e^{-\vec{\theta}^T A\vec{\theta}+H(\vec{\theta})}d\vec{\theta} + O\left(\exp(-c_6 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) < 1 > =$$

$$= \int\limits_{-r_2 n^{\varepsilon}}^{r_2 n^{\varepsilon}} \cdots \int\limits_{-r_2 n^{\varepsilon}}^{r_2 n^{\varepsilon}} \tilde{T} e^{-\,g_1(\theta_2,\dots,\theta_n)+H(\vec{\theta}^{(1)})}$$
$$\left(\int\limits_{-r_2 n^{\varepsilon}}^{r_2 n^{\varepsilon}} \phi_1^p e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec{\theta})+H(\vec{\theta})-H(\vec{\theta}^{(1)})} d\theta_1 \right) d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_n, \tag{7.5}$$

$$p = 0,1,2,3,4.$$

Combining (4.9) and (7.4), we find that for $\vec{\theta}^{(1)} \in U_n(r_2 n^{\varepsilon})$

$$\int\limits_{-r_2 n^{\varepsilon}}^{r_2 n^{\varepsilon}} \phi_1^p e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec{\theta})+H(\vec{\theta})-H(\vec{\theta}^{(1)})} d\theta_1 =$$

$$= \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_1^p e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec{\theta})} d\theta_1 + O\left(\exp(-c_7 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec{\theta})} d\theta_1 + \tag{7.6}$$

$$+ \int\limits_{|\phi_1(\vec{\theta})| \le r_3 n^{\varepsilon}} \phi_1^p e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec{\theta})} \left(e^{H(\vec{\theta})-H(\vec{\theta}^{(1)})} - 1 \right) d\theta_1, \; p = 0,1,2,3,4,$$

where $c_7 = c_7(r_2, c_1, c_2, a, b, \varepsilon) > 0$, $r_3 = r_3(r_2, c_1, c_2, a, b, \varepsilon) > 0$.

For $p = 2, 4$, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_1^2 e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec{\theta})} d\theta_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec{\theta})} d\theta_1, \\ \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_1^4 e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec{\theta})} d\theta_1 &= \frac{3}{4} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec{\theta})} d\theta_1, \end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int\limits_{|\phi_1(\vec{\theta})| < r_3 n^{\varepsilon}} \phi_1^p e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec{\theta})} \left(e^{H(\vec{\theta}) - H(\vec{\theta}^{(1)})} - 1 \right) d\theta_1 = \\ = O(n^{-1+4\epsilon}) \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_1^p e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec{\theta})} d\theta_1 = \\ = O(n^{-1+4\epsilon}) \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec{\theta})} d\theta_1, \\ \text{for } \vec{\theta}^{(1)} \in U_n(r_2 n^{\varepsilon}), \quad p = 0, 2, 4. \end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

Combining (7.2)-(7.8), we obtain (6.8) and (6.9).

For $p = 1, 3$, we have that:

$$\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_1^p e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec{\theta})} d\theta_1 = 0 \tag{7.9}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int\limits_{|\phi_1(\vec\theta)|\le r_3 n^\varepsilon} & \phi_1^p e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec\theta)}\left(e^{H(\vec\theta)-H(\vec\theta^{(1)})}-1\right)d\theta_1 = \\
&= \int\limits_{0\le\phi_1(\vec\theta)\le r_3 n^\varepsilon} |\phi_1|^p e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec\theta)}\left(e^{H(\vec\theta)-H(\vec\theta^{(1)})}-1\right)d\theta_1 - \\
&- \int\limits_{r_3 n^\varepsilon\le\phi_1(\vec\theta)\le 0} |\phi_1|^p e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec\theta)}\left(e^{H(\vec\theta)-H(\vec\theta^{(1)})}-1\right)d\theta_1 = \\
&= O(n^{-1+4\epsilon})\int\limits_{\phi_1\ge 0}|\phi_1|^p e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec\theta)}d\theta_1 = \\
&= O(n^{-1+4\epsilon})\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\phi_1^2(\vec\theta)}d\theta_1, \\
&\qquad \text{for } \vec\theta^{(1)}\in U_n(r_2 n^\varepsilon), \quad p=1,3.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.10}
$$

Combining (7.2)-(7.6), (7.8), (7.9), (7.10), we obtain (6.8) and (6.9). ■

Proof of Lemma 6.2. Let $T(\vec\theta)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T(\vec\theta)| &= O(\|\vec\theta\|_\infty^s), \\
\frac{\partial T(\vec\theta)}{\partial\theta_k} &= O(sn^{-1-\varepsilon})\sup_{\vec\theta\in\Omega}|T(\vec\theta)|, \quad \vec\theta\in\Omega.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.11}
$$

Combining (6.10) and (7.11), we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
< \phi_k(\vec\theta)T(\vec\theta) >_{F,\Omega} &= \\
&=< \phi_k(\vec\theta)T(\vec\theta^{(k)}) >_{F,\Omega} + < \phi_k(\vec\theta)(T(\vec\theta)-T(\vec\theta^{(k)}) >_{F,\Omega} = \\
&=< \phi_k(\vec\theta)T(\vec\theta^{(k)}) >_{F,\Omega} + O(sn^{-1-\epsilon}) < \sup_{\vec\theta\in\Omega}|\phi_k\theta_k T(\vec\theta)| >_{F,\Omega} = \\
&= O(sn^{-1+4\epsilon}) < \sup_{\vec\theta\in\Omega}|T(\vec\theta)| >_{F,\Omega} + O\left(\exp(-c_6 n^{2\varepsilon})\right) < 1 > .
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.12}
$$

Using (4.6), we find that for $\vec\theta\in\Omega$

$$
\frac{\partial M(\vec\phi(\vec\theta))}{\partial\theta_k} = O(sn^{(s-1)\varepsilon})\sum_{j:s_j\ne 0}\frac{\partial\vec\phi_j(\vec\theta)}{\partial\theta_k} = O(sn^{-1-\varepsilon})\sup_{\vec\theta\in\Omega}|M(\vec\phi(\vec\theta))|. \tag{7.13}
$$

Combining (6.6) and(7.12), we obtain (6.14) ■

8 Proof of Proposition 3.1

In this section we use notation $f = O(g)$ meaning that $|f| \leq c|g|$ for some constant $c > 0$ depending only on γ and ε.

The following lemma will be applied to estimate the determinant of a matrix close to the identity matrix I.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $\|\|$ denote any matrix norm. Let X be such an $n \times n$ matrix that $\|X\| < 1$. Then for fixed $m \geq 2$*

$$\det(I + X) = \exp\left(\sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r} tr(X^r) + E_m(X)\right), \tag{8.1}$$

where $tr(\cdot)$ is the trace function and

$$|E_m(X)| \leq \frac{n}{m} \frac{\|X\|^m}{1 - \|X\|}. \tag{8.2}$$

The proof of Lemma 8.1 is based on estimation the trace of the matrix $\ln(I + X)$, using the representation as a convergent series. Lemma 8.1 was also formulated and proved in [10].

For any $1 \leq r \leq n$, we have that

$$S_0 = \int\limits_{V_0} \prod_{\{v_j,v_k\}\in EG} \cos\Delta_{jk} \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_r} \prod_{(v_j,v_k)\in ET} (1 + i\tan\Delta_{jk})\, d\vec{\xi}, \tag{8.3}$$

where $\Delta_{jk} = \xi_j - \xi_k$ and

$$V_0 = \{\vec{\xi} \in U_n(\pi/2) : \ |\xi_j - \bar{\xi}| \,(\bmod\, \pi) \leq n^{-1/2+\varepsilon},\ \bar{\xi} = \frac{\xi_1 + \ldots + \xi_n}{n}\}. \tag{8.4}$$

Since the integrand is invariant under uniform translation of all the ξ_j's mod π, we can fix $\bar{\xi} = 0$ and multiply it by the ratio of its range π to the length $n^{-1/2}$ of the vector $\frac{1}{n}[1, 1, \ldots, 1]^T$. Thus, for any $1 \leq r \leq n$, we get that

$$S_0 = \pi n^{1/2} \int\limits_{L\cap V_0} \prod_{(v_j,v_k)\in EG} \cos\Delta_{jk} \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_r} \prod_{(v_j,v_k)\in ET} (1 + i\tan\Delta_{jk})\, dL, \tag{8.5}$$

where L denotes the orthogonal complement to the vector $[1,1,\ldots,1]^T$.

Let define $n \times n$ matrix B by

$$B_{jk} = \begin{cases} -\tan\Delta_{jk}, & \text{for } \{v_j, v_k\} \in EG, \\ \sum\limits_{l:(v_j,v_l)\in EG} \tan\Delta_{jl}, & \text{for } k = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases} \tag{8.6}$$

Using Theorem 3.1 with the matrix $Q + iB$, we get that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_r} \prod_{(v_j,v_k)\in ET} (1 + i\tan\Delta_{jk}) = \sum_{r=1}^{n} M_r, \tag{8.7}$$

where M_r denotes the principal minor of A formed by removing row r and column r. Since the vector $[1,1,\ldots,1]^T$ is the common eigenvector of the matrices Q and B, corresponding to the eigenvalue 0, we find that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} M_r = \frac{\det(\hat{Q} + iB)}{n}, \tag{8.8}$$

where $\hat{Q} = Q + J$ and J denotes the matrix with every entry 1. Note that

$$|\Delta_{jk}| = |(\xi_j - \bar{\xi}) - (\xi_k - \bar{\xi})| \le 2n^{-1/2+\varepsilon}, \quad \vec{\xi} \in V_0, \tag{8.9}$$

$$||B||_1 = \max_j \sum_{k=1}^{n} |B_{jk}| = O(n^{1/2+\varepsilon}), \quad \vec{\xi} \in V_0. \tag{8.10}$$

Let $\Phi = B\hat{Q}^{-1}$. Using (4.9), (5.4), (5.30) and (8.10), we get that

$$||\Phi||_1 \le ||B||_1 ||\hat{Q}^{-1}||_1 = O(n^{-1/2+\varepsilon}), \quad \vec{\xi} \in V_0. \tag{8.11}$$

Using Lemma 8.1 with the matrix $i\Phi$, we find that

$$\det(I + i\Phi) = \exp\left(i\mathrm{tr}\Phi + \frac{\mathrm{tr}\Phi^2}{2} + O(n^{-1/2+3\varepsilon})\right), \quad \vec{\xi} \in V_0. \tag{8.12}$$

Let

$$B = B_{skew} + B_{diag}, \tag{8.13}$$

where B_{skew} is the skew-symmetric matrix and B_{diag} is the diagonal matrix. Since $\hat{Q}$ is the symmetric matrix

$$\mathrm{tr}(B_{skew}\hat{Q}^{-1}) = 0. \tag{8.14}$$

Using (8.9), we find that

$$||B_{diag} - \Lambda||_2 = O(n^{-1/2+3\varepsilon}), \quad \vec{\xi} \in V_0, \tag{8.15}$$

where Λ denotes the diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements are equal to the components of the vector $Q\vec{\theta}$. Combining (5.3) and (8.15), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\mathrm{tr}\left((B_{diag} - \Lambda)\hat{Q}^{-1}\right)\right| \le n||B_{diag} - \Lambda||_2||\hat{Q}^{-1}||_2 = O(n^{-1/2+3\varepsilon}), \\ \vec{\xi} \in V_0. \end{aligned} \tag{8.16}$$

Using (8.14) and (8.16), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}\Phi = \mathrm{tr}(B_{diag}\hat{Q}^{-1}) = \mathrm{tr}(\Lambda\hat{Q}^{-1}) + O(n^{-1/2+3\varepsilon}) = \\ = \vec{\xi}^T Q\vec{\alpha} + O(n^{-1/2+3\varepsilon}), \quad \vec{\xi} \in V_0, \end{aligned} \tag{8.17}$$

where $\vec{\alpha}$ is the vector composed of the diagonal elements of the matrix $\hat{Q}^{-1}$.

Using the property of the trace function

$$\mathrm{tr}(XY) = \mathrm{tr}(YX), \tag{8.18}$$

we have that

$$\mathrm{tr}\Phi^2 = \mathrm{tr}(B_{skew}\hat{Q}^{-1})^2 + \mathrm{tr}(B_{diag}\hat{Q}^{-1})^2 + 2\,\mathrm{tr}\left(B_{skew}\hat{Q}^{-1}B_{diag}\hat{Q}^{-1}\right). \tag{8.19}$$

Since B_{skew} is the skew-symmetric matrix and $\hat{Q}^{-1}B_{diag}\hat{Q}^{-1}$ is the symmetric matrix, we find that

$$\mathrm{tr}\left(B_{skew}\hat{Q}^{-1}B_{diag}\hat{Q}^{-1}\right) = 0. \tag{8.20}$$

According to (5.17), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}X^2 \le ||X||_{HS}^2, \\ ||XY||_{HS} \le ||X||_{HS}||Y^T||_2. \end{aligned} \tag{8.21}$$

Therefore we get that

$$\left|\mathrm{tr}(B_{skew}\hat{Q}^{-1})^2\right| \le ||B_{skew}\hat{Q}^{-1}||_{HS}^2. \tag{8.22}$$

Combining (5.3) and (8.9), we obtain that

$$||B_{skew}\hat{Q}^{-1}||_{HS} \leq ||\hat{Q}^{-1}||_2||B_{skew}||_{HS} = O(n^{-1/2+\varepsilon}), \quad \vec{\xi} \in V_0. \tag{8.23}$$

Using (5.3), (8.9) and (8.15), we get that

$$\begin{gathered}\left|\mathrm{tr}\left((B_{diag}-\Lambda)\hat{Q}^{-1}B_{diag}\hat{Q}^{-1}\right)\right| \leq \\ \leq n\|\hat{Q}^{-1}\|_2^2||(B_{diag}-\Lambda)||_2||B_{diag}||_2 = O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon}), \quad \vec{\xi} \in V_0,\end{gathered} \tag{8.24}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered}\left|\mathrm{tr}\left((B_{diag}-\Lambda)\hat{Q}^{-1}(B_{diag}-\Lambda)\hat{Q}^{-1}\right)\right| \leq \\ \leq n\|\hat{Q}^{-1}\|_2^2||(B_{diag}-\Lambda)||_2^2 = O(n^{-2+6\varepsilon}), \quad \vec{\xi} \in V_0.\end{gathered} \tag{8.25}$$

Combining (8.18), (8.24) and (8.25), we obtain that

$$\mathrm{tr}(B_{diag}\hat{Q}^{-1})^2 = \mathrm{tr}(\Lambda\hat{Q}^{-1})^2 + O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon}), \quad \vec{\xi} \in V_0. \tag{8.26}$$

Combining (8.19), (8.20), (8.22), (8.23) and (8.26), we obtain that

$$\mathrm{tr}\Phi^2 = \mathrm{tr}(\Lambda\hat{Q}^{-1})^2 + O(n^{-1+4\varepsilon}), \quad \vec{\xi} \in V_0. \tag{8.27}$$

Using (8.17) and (8.27) in (8.12), we get that

$$\det(I+i\Phi) = \exp\left(i\,\vec{\theta}^T Q\vec{\alpha} + \frac{\mathrm{tr}(\Lambda\hat{Q}^{-1})^2}{2} + O(n^{-1/2+4\varepsilon})\right), \quad \vec{\xi} \in V_0. \tag{8.28}$$

By Taylor's theorem we have that for $\vec{\xi} \in V_0$

$$\begin{gathered}\prod_{(v_j,v_k)\in EG} \cos\Delta_{jk} = \\ = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{(v_j,v_k)\in EG}\Delta_{jk}^2 - \frac{1}{12}\sum_{(v_j,v_k)\in EG}\Delta_{jk}^4 + O(n^{-1+6\varepsilon})\right).\end{gathered} \tag{8.29}$$

Note also that

$$\sum_{(v_j,v_k)\in EG}\Delta_{jk}^2 = \vec{\xi}^T Q\vec{\xi}. \tag{8.30}$$

Putting together (8.5) for $r = 1, 2 \ldots, n$, (8.7), (8.8), (8.28), (8.29), (8.30), we obtain that

$$S_0 = \left(1 + O\left(n^{-1/2+6\epsilon}\right)\right) \pi n^{-3/2} \det \hat{Q}\ \mathrm{Int}', \tag{8.31}$$

$$\mathrm{Int}' = \int\limits_{L\cap V_0} \exp\left(i\,\vec{\xi}^T Q \vec{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\vec{\xi}^T Q \vec{\xi} - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\{v_j, v_k\}\in EG} \Delta_{jk}^4 + \frac{1}{2} R(\vec{\xi}) \right) dL, \tag{8.32}$$

where $R(\vec{\xi}) = \mathrm{tr}(\Lambda(\vec{\xi})\hat{Q}^{-1}\Lambda(\vec{\xi})\hat{Q}^{-1})$.

Let $Pr(\vec{\xi})$ be the orthogonal projection $\vec{\xi}$ onto the space L, where L denotes the orthogonal complement to the vector $[1, 1, \ldots, 1]^T$. Note that

$$||Pr(\vec{\xi})||_\infty = ||\vec{\xi} - \bar{\xi}[1, 1, \ldots, 1]^T||_\infty \leq 2||\vec{\xi}||_\infty, \tag{8.33}$$

where

$$\bar{\xi} = \frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \ldots \theta_n}{n}. \tag{8.34}$$

Thus

$$U_n(\frac{1}{2}n^{-1/2+\varepsilon}) \subset \left\{\vec{\xi}\ :\ Pr(\vec{\xi}) \in U_n(n^{-1/2+\varepsilon})\right\} \tag{8.35}$$

We also note that

$$Q\vec{\xi} = QPr(\vec{\xi}). \tag{8.36}$$

Therefore the integrand of (8.32) does not change under the substitution of vector $\vec{\xi}$ by vector $Pr(\vec{\xi})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int\limits_{L\cap V_0} \exp\left(i\,\vec{\xi}^T Q \vec{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\vec{\xi}^T Q \vec{\xi} - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\{v_j, v_k\}\in EG} \Delta_{jk}^4 + \frac{1}{2} R(\vec{\xi}) \right) dL = \\ &\qquad = \int\limits_{P(\vec{\xi})\in L\cap V_0} e^{i\,\vec{\xi}^T Q\vec{\alpha} + F(\vec{\xi})} d\vec{\xi} \Bigg/ \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}nx^2} dx = \\ &\qquad = \frac{n^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int\limits_{P(\vec{\xi})\in U_n(n^{-1/2+\varepsilon})} e^{i\,\vec{\xi}^T Q\vec{\alpha} + F(\vec{\xi})} d\vec{\xi}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.37}$$

where

$$F(\xi) = -\frac{1}{2}\vec{\xi}^T \hat{Q} \vec{\xi} - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\{v_j, v_k\}\in EG} \Delta_{jk}^4 + \frac{1}{2} R(\vec{\xi}). \tag{8.38}$$

Using notations (5.6) - (5.11), and formulas (4.20), (8.35), (5.67), we get that

$$\mathrm{Int}' = \left(1 + O\left(\exp(-c_7 n^{2\varepsilon})\right)\right) \frac{n^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathrm{Int}, \tag{8.39}$$

where $c_7 > 0$ depends only on γ and ε.

Combining (8.31) and (8.39), we obtain (3.8).

Acknowledgements

This work was carried out under the supervision of S.P. Tarasov and supported in part by RFBR grant no 11-01-00398a.

References

[1] S. Arora, D. Karger, and M. Karpinski, *Polynomial Time Approximation Schemes for Dense Instances of NP-Hard Problems*, Proc. 27th ACM STOC (1995), pp. 284293; in J. Comput. Syst. Sci. 58, pp. 193210, 1999.

[2] N. L. Biggs, E. K. Lloyd and R. J. Wilson, *Graph Theory*, 1736-1936, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1976.

[3] G. Brightwell, P. Winkler, *Note on Counting Eulerian Circuits*, Proceedings of the 7th ALENEX and 2nd ANALCO 2005 , ALENEX/ANALCO 2005 Vancouver, BC, C Demetrescu, R Sedgewick and R Tamassia (eds.), (2005) 259-262. arXiv:cs/0405067v1.

[4] M. Isaev, *Asymptotic behaviour of the number of Eulerian circuits*, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 2011, V.18(1), P.219, e-print arXiv: 1104.3046.

[5] M. Isaev, *Asymptotic behaviour of the number of Eulerian orientations of graphs*, Mathematical Notes (to appear), e-print arXiv:1110.2598.

[6] M. Isaev, K. Isaeva, *On the class of γ-mixing graphs*, Journal of Applied and Industrial Mathematics (submitted), e-print arXiv:1203.6880.

[7] M. Fiedler, *Algebraic connectivity of graphs*, Czech. Math. J. 23 (98) (1973), 298-305.

[8] G. Kirchhoff, *Über die Auflösung der Gleichungen, auf welche man bei der Untersuchung der linearen Verteilung galvanischer Ströme geführt wird*, Ann. Phys. Chem. 72 (1847), 497-508. Translated by J. B. O'Toole in I.R.E. Trans. Circuit Theory, CT-5 (1958) 4.

[9] M. Mihail and P. Winkler, *On the number of Eulerian orientations of a graph*, Algorithmica 16 (1996), 402414.

[10] B. D. McKay, R. W. Robinson, *Asymptotic enumeration of eulerian circuits in the complete graph.* Combinatorica, 7(4), December 1998.

[11] B. Mohar, *The Laplacian spectrum of graphs*, Graph Theory, Combinatorics, and Applications, Vol. 2, Ed. Y. Alavi, G. Chartrand, O. R. Oellermann, A. J. Schwenk, Wiley, 1991, pp. 871-898.

[12] W. T. Tutte, *The dissection of equilateral triangles into equilateral triangles*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 44 (1948), 463482.

M.I. Isaev
Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology,
141700 Dolgoprudny, Russia
Centre de Mathématiques Appliquées, Ecole Polytechnique,
91128 Palaiseau, France
e-mail: `Isaev.M.I@gmail.com`